RESISTANCE MODEL FOR HELICAL MCGS

P.T. Tracy

Tracy Physical Sciences, Huntsville, AL

L.L. Altgilbers

U.S. Army Space and Missile Defense Command, Huntsville, AL 35807

ABSTRACT

A semi-empirical computational model describing the design, operation, and timedependent electrical output of magnetocumulative generators (MCGs) has been presented in previous publications by the authors. This model has been shown to successfully predict the behavior of a number of helical generators developed by laboratories around the world over a number of years. This paper is an initial attempt to describe the physics involved in the time-dependent behavior of the electrical resistance during the operation of these generators. Understanding this parameter is important since it appears to be dependent on the physical dimensions of the generator, the detonation velocity, and the plasma properties of shock heated air. The early-time decrease in resistance appears to be related to the rate at which the plasma is formed, while the late-time increase in resistance is related to the transport properties of the plasma.

Keywords: Magnetocumulative generator, resistance model, Helical MCG

I. INTRODUCTION

A magnetocumulative generator (MCG) is a device that converts the chemical energy of explosives into electrical energy. This is accomplished by locating an explosively loaded armature (or liner) coaxially with a solenoid called the stator. The stator is activated by a seed current from an external power source. When peak current is flowing through the stator, i.e., peak magnetic field within the stator, the explosive in the armature is detonated and the metallic armature surface starts to expand. As the detonation wave proceeds down the length of the armature, the coils of the solenoid are sequentially shorted by the expanding armature. This process leads to a time dependent amplification of the output current from the solenoid into a load.

Magnetocumulative generators were first developed independently in the United States and the Former Soviet Union in the early

1950s. Since that time, a number of other countries have started research programs and there is, at present, several significant research and development programs. One of the most significant programs in the United States is that of Texas Tech University to understand the basic physics and the limitations of MCGs.

A semi-empirical model for helical MCGs is presented in Ref. 1. This model is physics based and was developed to describe the physical processes that take place in the MCG. It was used to successfully describe the operation of a number of different helical MCGs operating under a variety of conditions. It is semi-empirical in that it is based on a single adjustable parameter.

One important characteristic of the helical MCG is its time dependent electrical resistance. An empirical model was developed to describe this resistance as a function of the generator's properties. This paper is an initial attempt to describe those physical processes that affect this electrical resistance.

A brief discussion of the mathematical model for the helical MCG is presented in the next section. This is followed by a detailed discussion of its resistance.

II. MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR HELICAL MCGS

The circuit equation for an MCG is:

$$\frac{d(LI)}{dt} + RI = 0, \tag{1}$$

where L and R are the time dependent generator inductance and resistance, respectively, I is the electric current, and t is time. The detonation is initiated at t = 0. It is assumed that the inductance and resistance behave exponentially:

$$L = L_0 e^{-t/\tau} \tag{2}$$

$$R = R_0 e^{-t/\tau} \,, \tag{3}$$

where L_{θ} and R_{θ} are the inductance and resistance just prior to the detonation of the explosive and τ is a characteristic time. This characteristic time depends on the type of explosive used and the dimensions of the device.

Report Docume		Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.				
1. REPORT DATE JUN 2003	2. REPORT TYPE N/A		3. DATES COVE	RED
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Resistance Model For Helical Mcgs			5a. CONTRACT I	NUMBER
			5b. GRANT NUMBER	
			5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)			5d. PROJECT NU	MBER
			5e. TASK NUMBER	
			5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ALL U.S. Army Space and Missile Defense	le, AL	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSOR/M	ONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
			11. SPONSOR/MONUMBER(S)	ONITOR'S REPORT
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distributi	on unlimited			
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES See also ADM002371. 2013 IEEE Pulso Abstracts of the 2013 IEEE Internation Conference (19th). Held in San Francis Rights License.	nal Conference on P	lasma Science. II	EEE Internat	ional Pulsed Power
A semi-empirical computational mode output of magnetocumulative generate authors. This model has been shown to developed by laboratories around the describe the physics involved in the tin operation of these generators. Underston the physical dimensions of the generated air. The early-time decrease in formed, while the late-time increase in	ors (MCGs) has been a successfully predict world over a numbe ne-dependent behave anding this parameterator, the detonation resistance appears t	n presented in protect the behavior of trof years. This policy of the electric ter is important so n velocity, and the obe related to the	evious publica a number of aper is an ini al resistance ince it appear e plasma pro e rate at whice	ations by the helical generators tial attempt to during the rs to be dependent perties of shock ch the plasma is
15. SUBJECT TERMS				
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON

c. THIS PAGE

unclassified

SAR

3

a. REPORT

unclassified

b. ABSTRACT

unclassified

The value of τ for the Los Alamos Mark IX generator was determined empirically to be 48 μ s.

The solution of Eq. (1), using Eqs. (2) and (3), is:

$$I = I_0 \exp\left(\left(1 - \frac{R_0 \tau}{L_0}\right) \frac{t}{\tau}\right),\tag{4}$$

where I_0 is the seed current. Equation (4) represents the behavior of the generator from time t = 0 to a time t_0 at which the detonation process ends and the current peaks and starts to decrease.

III. MCG RESISTANCE MODEL

The resistance can be written in the form:

$$R = \frac{l}{\sigma A_{R}},\tag{5}$$

where l is the length of the solenoid, σ is the electrical conductivity, and A_R is the annular area between the outer surface of the armature and the inner surface of the stator. As the detonation front proceeds down the armature and the armature expands to make contact with the solenoid, the length, l, decreases:

$$\frac{dl}{dt} = -\frac{l}{\tau} \tag{6}$$

and

$$l = l_0 e^{-t/\tau},\tag{7}$$

where l_o is the initial length of the stator. Thus,

$$R = R_0 e^{-t/\tau}, (8)$$

where

$$R_0 = \frac{l_0}{\sigma A_R}. (9)$$

This corresponds to Eq. (3).

It was determined empirically [1], that

$$R_0 = \frac{91I_a}{I_0},\tag{10}$$

where l_a is the length of the armature. The constant, 91, has the units of electric field, V/m. The value of R_0 for the Mark IX generator was calculated to be $3.15 \times 10^{-4} \Omega$.

Relating Eqs. (9) and (10), it is found that the electrical conductivity of the air in the space between the armature and the stator is:

$$\sigma = \frac{l_0 I_0}{91 l_a A_R} \text{ mho/m.}$$
 (11)

The area, A_R , is represented by:

$$A_R = \pi \left(r_s^2 - r_a^2 \right), \tag{12}$$

where r_s is the radius of the stator and r_a is the radius of the armature. The values of the various parameters in Eqs. (11) and (12) for the Mark IX generator are [2]: $r_s = 17.8$ cm, $r_a = 8.65$ cm, $l_0 = 1.118$ m, $l_a = 1.73$ m, and $l_0 = 0.5$ MA. Using these parameter values, the electrical conductivity is calculated to be $\sigma = 4.67 \times 10^4$ mho/m.

The electrical conductivity can also be written as:

$$\sigma = \frac{n_e e^2}{m_e v},\tag{13}$$

where n_e is the free electron density, e is the electron charge, m_e is the electron mass, and v is the electron collision frequency. This expression assumes that the electron mobility is given by:

$$\mu = \frac{e}{m_{o}V}.$$
 (14)

Writing the electron collision frequency as

$$v = n_a \sigma_c \left(\frac{3kT}{m_e} \right)^{1/2} \tag{15}$$

and using Eq. (13), the initial free electron density is found to be:

$$n_e = \frac{\sigma n_a \sigma_c}{e^2} (3m_e kT)^{1/2},$$
 (16)

where n_a is the ambient atmospheric particle density, σ_c is the electron collision cross-section with air particles, k is the Boltzmann constant, and T is the temperature. In writing Eq. (16), it was assumed that the degree of ionization is relatively low and that the electrons are in thermal equilibrium with the ambient gas in the MCG. In most cases, the gas is air.

As a numerical example, consider the ambient gas to be air at sea level density (n_a = 2.69 x 10²⁵ m⁻³) and a temperature of 288.76⁰K. For a conductivity of 4.67 x 10⁴ mho/m, it is found using Eqs. (16) and an electron collision cross-section of 9.4 x 10⁻²⁰ m², that the electron density is 4.82 x 10²³ m⁻³. This corresponds to a 1.8% degree of ionization.

As pointed out in the previous section, the resistance decreases exponentially out to a time t_0 . This time is represented by the formula:

$$t_0 = \frac{l_a}{\mathbf{v}_A},\tag{17}$$

where v_d is the detonation velocity. The detonation velocity depends on the explosive used. As an example, the explosive used in the Mark IX was PBX9501, which has a detonation velocity of 8.83 km/s [2]. Thus,

$$t_0 = 1.96 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}$$
.

For times greater than t_0 , it has been found, empirically, that Eq. (4) must be modified to the following form:

$$I = I_0 \exp\left\{ \left[1 - \frac{R_0 \tau}{L_0} e^{b(t - t_0)} \right] \frac{t}{\tau} \right\}$$
 (18)

for $t \ge t_0$, where $b = 1.8 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$. The inverse of b is a second characteristic time, 5.56 μ s. It should be noted that Eq. (18) has a peak value at a time slightly greater than t_0 . As an example, again using the Mark IX generator, the peak value of Eq. (18) occurs at $t \approx 212 \,\mu$ s, which is approximately 16 μ s after the end of the detonation, t_0 .

The form of Eq. (18) indicates that after $t = t_0$, the resistance has the form:

$$R = R_0 \exp\left[b(t - t_0) - \frac{t}{\tau}\right]$$
 for $t \ge t_0$. (19)

For the Mark IX generator, the resistance has decreased from its initial value of 3.15 x 10^{-4} Ohms to 5.31×10^{-6} Ω at $t = t_0$. At t = 212 µs, where the current reaches a peak value, the resistance has increased to 6.78 x 10^{-5} Ohms. The resistance increases to its initial value at:

$$t = \frac{t_0}{1 - \frac{1}{b\tau}} = 2.22 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s.}$$
 (20)

Thus, the resistance has increased by a factor of 59.3 in a period of $26~\mu s$ following the end of the detonation and continues to increase very rapidly.

IV. SUMMARY

This paper presents an initial effort to describe the physical processes involved in the time varying resistance of an MCG. The variation in the resistance was shown to occur

over three separate time regimes. The first time period is that following the onset of the seed current, but prior to the detonation of the explosive charge. The second time period is the time it takes for the detonation wave to travel the length of the explosive loaded armature. The third, and final, period is the time following the end of the detonation to the time at which the current in the load has been reduced to zero.

During the first period of time, the resistance reaches a constant value that is determined by the amplitude of the seed current, the dimensions of the generator, and the surrounding medium (because the factor 91 has the units of electric field and because of the collision frequency). In most cases studied thus far, the surrounding medium has been air.

The resistance during the second time period decreases rapidly with a characteristic time that depends on the dimensions of the generator and the type of explosive used. The rate of decrease does not appear to be dependent on the surrounding medium.

The final period of time, following the end of the detonation process, the resistance rapidly increases. The characteristic time for the increase of the resistance is 5.56 µs and is independent of the generator and explosive characteristics. This would seem to indicate that it is dependent on the surrounding medium.

Future work on MCGs will include an effort to determine the nature of the dependence on the medium in which the interaction is taking place. This work should include MCG operation in a vacuum.

V. REFERENCES

- L.L. Altgilbers, M.D. Brown, I. Grishnaev, B. Novac, I.R. Smith, Ya. Tkach, and Yu. Tkach, Magnetocumulative Generators, Springer-Verlag, New York (2000).
- 2. Private Communications with C.M. Fowler, Los Alamos National Laboratory (1999).